		Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 201		20 Mars											
		TECHNICAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET - MATERIAL	S HAND	LING	STUDY	f									
IN	STRUCTIONS:	Grade each proposal in terms of the major items listed below, giving consideration to ideas such as those in the sub-paragraphs. Grade each major item 1 through 5 based on (1) Unsatisfactory; (2) Poor; (3) Average; (4) Very Good; (5) Excellent.											- 1		
1.	Leve	UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM: Level of comprehension of the problem areas as defined in the Development Objective (2.1, 2.2, 2.3).			3		4	2		3	3	5	,	4	
2.	SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH: Technical quality of their approach - does it appear to fill the operational voids - how specific does the approach relate to NPIC problems.				3		4	2			3	5		rf	
3.	Does	COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS: Does the proposal comply with the requirements as defined in the Development Objective (4.0).			3		4	3			4	5		3	
4.	Iden real is th prope	SPECIAL FACTORS: Identification and solutions of Phase I and Phase II - does the bidder realize the possibility or existance of interface with other programs is the level of effort commensurate with time estimates - does the proposal cover the alternative method approach of conceptual design in Phase I and detailed system configuration in Phase II.			3		4	3			3	5	The second second	3	
5.	items compa	ddition to the above ratings, it is requested a comprehensive paragraph s, relative importance of the major problems as seen by the evaluator army such as past contracts, competence of proposed personnel, program matally, any information you wish to submit not covered above.	d how	the l	oidder	prop	oses	to ha	ndle t	hem,	any	know1	edge o	f th	е .

STAT

STAT

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/14 : CIA-RDP79B00873A001800010031-1